



*Representing the advocacy interests  
of hospitals and health systems on Long Island and in the Hudson Valley*

# OPPOSITION

## MEMORANDUM

February 28, 2019

TO: Assembly Speaker Carl Heastie  
Members, Long Island Delegation of the New York State Assembly  
Members, Hudson Valley Delegation of the New York State Assembly

FROM: Kevin W. Dahill, President and CEO

RE: A.2373 (Dinowitz) — in Assembly Judiciary Committee

**The Suburban Hospital Alliance of New York State urges your opposition to A.2373,** legislation that would allow a plaintiff, when a judgment against a defendant remains unsatisfied after 30 days, to sue and collect the unsatisfied judgment from a third-party defendant.

In essence the bill would give plaintiffs the opportunity to recover funds from a party they initially decided not to sue. A plaintiff already may recover from a third-party defendant if liability running to the plaintiff is proved and the impleading of the third party occurs within the statute of limitations applicable to the primary care. This bill allows a plaintiff to recover even if the plaintiff neglected to sue the entity and forsook its right to recover from the third party due to the tolling of the relevant statute of limitations.

Furthermore, the premise of the legislation is that, by virtue of its presence in a lawsuit, a third-party defendant owes a duty to the plaintiff and has breached that duty. That is simply not the case. A third party's liability to a defendant may be due to contractual terms between the two, to which the plaintiff was neither a party nor a third-party beneficiary, and to which the plaintiff is not bound. It



*Representing the advocacy interests  
of hospitals and health systems on Long Island and in the Hudson Valley*

is inappropriate to grant a plaintiff recovery rights against a defendant that he or she is not in contractual privity with.

New York is in need of true malpractice reform that balances the rights of patients to receive fair compensation and the need for rational procedures and limitations that keep malpractice premiums affordable. A.2373 runs counter to these goals.

**For these reasons, the Suburban Hospital Alliance respectfully requests your vote against  
A.2373.**